# Discussion of: Order Flow Volatility Shock in the Foreign Exchange Market

Daniel Nathan+×

 $^+ \text{Upenn, and} \ ^\times \text{Bank of Israel}$ 

14 of October, 2023

The presented views are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Bank of Israel.



 The paper follows the literature in using high frequency data to study exchange rate determination.

- The paper follows the literature in using high frequency data to study exchange rate determination.
- Specifically, the paper studies the effect of order flow volatility on exchange rates.

- The paper follows the literature in using high frequency data to study exchange rate determination.
- Specifically, the paper studies the effect of order flow volatility on exchange rates.
- The paper finds that order flow volatlity shocks have a significant effect on exchange rates.

- The paper follows the literature in using high frequency data to study exchange rate determination.
- Specifically, the paper studies the effect of order flow volatility on exchange rates.
- The paper finds that order flow volatlity shocks have a significant effect on exchange rates.
- The results are similar to what has been found by Chordia et al. (2019) in the equity market.

- The paper follows the literature in using high frequency data to study exchange rate determination.
- Specifically, the paper studies the effect of order flow volatility on exchange rates.
- The paper finds that order flow volatlity shocks have a significant effect on exchange rates.
- The results are similar to what has been found by Chordia et al. (2019) in the equity market.

 The paper uses CLS data, which is a data set of all FX transactions that are settled through CLS.

- The paper uses CLS data, which is a data set of all FX transactions that are settled through CLS.
- CLS is clearing house that settles FX transactions owned by dealers in the FX market.

- The paper uses CLS data, which is a data set of all FX transactions that are settled through CLS.
- CLS is clearing house that settles FX transactions owned by dealers in the FX market.
- Many papers have started using the CLS data set to study FX markets as the authors point out.
  - Consider also adding the recent paper by Roussanov and Wang "Following the Fed: Limits of Arbitrage and the Dollar."

- The paper uses CLS data, which is a data set of all FX transactions that are settled through CLS.
- CLS is clearing house that settles FX transactions owned by dealers in the FX market.
- Many papers have started using the CLS data set to study FX markets as the authors point out.
  - Consider also adding the recent paper by Roussanov and Wang "Following the Fed: Limits of Arbitrage and the Dollar."
- It should be worth a footnote or an appendix to give a bit more information
  of the level of participation and what the dataset actually entails (i.e., trades
  between customers and dealers) and how much of the market is being
  covered in the dataset.

They concentrate at spot transactions of currencies against the USD
[XXX/USD] if I understood correctly? Do you just discard the rest? should
be made clearer in the paper.

- They concentrate at spot transactions of currencies against the USD
  [XXX/USD] if I understood correctly? Do you just discard the rest? should
  be made clearer in the paper.
- They construct a daily measure of signed order flow for each of the currency pairs in their dataset

buy volume — sell volume total volume

The higher the measure the higher **buyer initiated** trades there were.

- They concentrate at spot transactions of currencies against the USD
  [XXX/USD] if I understood correctly? Do you just discard the rest? should
  be made clearer in the paper.
- They construct a daily measure of signed order flow for each of the currency pairs in their dataset

buy volume — sell volume total volume

The higher the measure the higher **buyer initiated** trades there were.

 They then average this measure across the month to create a monthly measure of volatility of this measure which they will call

$$\sigma_{i,t}^{\text{of}}$$

- They concentrate at spot transactions of currencies against the USD
  [XXX/USD] if I understood correctly? Do you just discard the rest? should
  be made clearer in the paper.
- They construct a daily measure of signed order flow for each of the currency pairs in their dataset

The higher the measure the higher **buyer initiated** trades there were.

 They then average this measure across the month to create a monthly measure of volatility of this measure which they will call

$$\sigma_{i,t}^{\mathsf{of}}$$

 Their main explanatory variable is the shock to this measure (i.e., the first difference of the measure)

$$\sigma_{i,t}^{\text{of}} - \sigma_{i,t-1}^{\text{of}}$$



#### Results:

**1** The long-short portfolio return spread by buying low-shock currencies and selling high shock currencies generate 5% return per annum.

#### Results:

- 1 The long-short portfolio return spread by buying low-shock currencies and selling high shock currencies generate 5% return per annum.
- ② In a panel regression setting they find that higher vol shocks are related to lower excess returns even when including other pertinent variables as controls.

#### Results:

- 1 The long-short portfolio return spread by buying low-shock currencies and selling high shock currencies generate 5% return per annum.
- 2 In a panel regression setting they find that **higher vol shocks** are related to lower excess returns even when including other pertinent variables as controls.

#### More results:

 Limits to arbitrage. Harder to arbitrage currencies have a stronger negative relation.

#### Results:

- 1 The long-short portfolio return spread by buying low-shock currencies and selling high shock currencies generate 5% return per annum.
- 2 In a panel regression setting they find that **higher vol shocks** are related to lower excess returns even when including other pertinent variables as controls.

#### More results:

- Limits to arbitrage. Harder to arbitrage currencies have a stronger negative relation.
- Investors inattention not significant makes sense to me. This is the FX
  market. I wouldn't expect investor attention from retails (i.e., google search)
  to affect the results.

#### Results:

- 1 The long-short portfolio return spread by buying low-shock currencies and selling high shock currencies generate 5% return per annum.
- 2 In a panel regression setting they find that **higher vol shocks** are related to lower excess returns even when including other pertinent variables as controls.

#### More results:

- Limits to arbitrage. Harder to arbitrage currencies have a stronger negative relation.
- Investors inattention not significant makes sense to me. This is the FX
  market. I wouldn't expect investor attention from retails (i.e., google search)
  to affect the results.

And many more robustness results.

### **General Impression**

• Very interesting paper on a very important topic—the FX market.

### **General Impression**

• Very interesting paper on a very important topic—the FX market.

 The use of the CLS dataset is a great idea as it covers so many currencies, therefore, there are no external validity concerns.

#### **General Impression**

• Very interesting paper on a very important topic—the FX market.

 The use of the CLS dataset is a great idea as it covers so many currencies, therefore, there are no external validity concerns.

What follows are my own impressions and comments – take them at your own risk

 As stated by the authors, Chordia et al. (2019) think of their measure as a proxy for information asymmetry that requires compensation.

- As stated by the authors, Chordia et al. (2019) think of their measure as a proxy for information asymmetry that requires compensation.
  - In their paper, they document a contemporaneous *negative* relation as well as a future *negative one*.
  - They conclude this is compensation for assymetric information albeit with a slow reaction.
- (I might have missed it but) it would be helpful to see the contemporaneous relation in the main panel regression much like Chordia.

- As stated by the authors, Chordia et al. (2019) think of their measure as a proxy for information asymmetry that requires compensation.
  - In their paper, they document a contemporaneous *negative* relation as well as a future *negative one*.
  - They conclude this is compensation for assymetric information albeit with a slow reaction.
- (I might have missed it but) it would be helpful to see the contemporaneous relation in the main panel regression much like Chordia.
- It would be helpful to discuss what asymmetric information is meant in the context of the FX market. The FX market is quite liquid so this is a first order importance.
  - Therefore it would also be beneficial to compare the results to equity. I'd expect more information asymmetry in equities than in FX.
  - It would also be useful to show if it correlates with other measures such as BAS.
  - Is it higher on monetary policy announcements?



 Related to the first comment, what currency is affected by the asymmetric information?

- Related to the first comment, what currency is affected by the asymmetric information?
- The signed order flow of the EUR/USD is more volatile; why does it mean that the USD should depreciate in value? Why not the EUR?

- Related to the first comment, what currency is affected by the asymmetric information?
- The signed order flow of the EUR/USD is more volatile; why does it mean that the USD should depreciate in value? Why not the EUR?
- It is straightforward in equities, but not intuitive in FX market when someone buys one currency and sells another currency.

- Related to the first comment, what currency is affected by the asymmetric information?
- The signed order flow of the EUR/USD is more volatile; why does it mean that the USD should depreciate in value? Why not the EUR?
- It is straightforward in equities, but not intuitive in FX market when someone buys one currency and sells another currency.
- This relates to the authors decomposition of good and bad volatility where they interact the volatility with the signed measure.

- Related to the first comment, what currency is affected by the asymmetric information?
- The signed order flow of the EUR/USD is more volatile; why does it mean that the USD should depreciate in value? Why not the EUR?
- It is straightforward in equities, but not intuitive in FX market when someone buys one currency and sells another currency.
- This relates to the authors decomposition of good and bad volatility where they interact the volatility with the signed measure.
- They find that high-volatility together with higher demand for the currency leads to appreciation of the currency.

- Related to the first comment, what currency is affected by the asymmetric information?
- The signed order flow of the EUR/USD is more volatile; why does it mean that the USD should depreciate in value? Why not the EUR?
- It is straightforward in equities, but not intuitive in FX market when someone buys one currency and sells another currency.
- This relates to the authors decomposition of good and bad volatility where they interact the volatility with the signed measure.
- They find that high-volatility together with higher demand for the currency leads to appreciation of the currency.
- Raises the question of what we are actually capturing with the volatility measure?

- Related to the first comment, what currency is affected by the asymmetric information?
- The signed order flow of the EUR/USD is more volatile; why does it mean that the USD should depreciate in value? Why not the EUR?
- It is straightforward in equities, but not intuitive in FX market when someone buys one currency and sells another currency.
- This relates to the authors decomposition of good and bad volatility where they interact the volatility with the signed measure.
- They find that high-volatility together with higher demand for the currency leads to appreciation of the currency.
- Raises the question of what we are actually capturing with the volatility measure?
- I don't have a good answer...



• Makes sense to me that the shock is the one that is working and not the level and I agree with the authors on this point.

- Makes sense to me that the shock is the one that is working and not the level and I agree with the authors on this point.
- The whole panel estimation can be cast into a local projection framework quite naturally and then one can show the impulse response function beyond the 1 month mark.

- Makes sense to me that the shock is the one that is working and not the level and I agree with the authors on this point.
- The whole panel estimation can be cast into a local projection framework quite naturally and then one can show the impulse response function beyond the 1 month mark.
- In that vein, one needs to estimate the optimal lag structure for the volatility shock. Perhaps sharper conclusions can be drawn by building the shock with more than one lag.

- Makes sense to me that the shock is the one that is working and not the level and I agree with the authors on this point.
- The whole panel estimation can be cast into a local projection framework quite naturally and then one can show the impulse response function beyond the 1 month mark.
- In that vein, one needs to estimate the optimal lag structure for the volatility shock. Perhaps sharper conclusions can be drawn by building the shock with more than one lag.
- You can also experiment with the He, Kelly and Manela (2019) measure of limits of arbitrage.

## Thank You!